

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/620,968	07	//20/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-2183	0756-2183 4214	
22204	7590	02/09/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON PE	•		HA, NATHAN W			
401 9TH ST SUITE 900	REE1, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20004-2128	2814			

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			P				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/620,968	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nathan W. Ha	2814					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the contens of the contens of the shortened standard in the contens of t	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action, or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.)	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amending	nent canceling				
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	will not be entered, or b) 🔀 wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-25</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected. <u>2-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	out before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filingentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessance. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered because Continuation Sheet	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:				

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Office clearly stated that the combination would be proper since the devices are analogous, and it would prevent fixed electric charges from being generated in the film.

HOAI^JPHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER